

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/686,323	10/09/2000	Bin Zhao	97RSS433DIV 6870		
25700	7590 06/03/2003				
FARJAMI & FARJAMI LLP			EXAMINER		
16148 SANE IRVINE, CA			PERALTA,	LTA, GINETTE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814		
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	i	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .		Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/686,323		ZHAO, BIN	_				
Advisory Action	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Ginette Peralta		2814					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover shee	et with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE:								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject								
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directe	ed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w				and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>93-117</u> .	,)				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449)/Pa	per No(s).	() () () () () () () () () ()	-9				
10. Other:		•						
	=	TOORY P	TII MARKATA Y GENTER 2008	ΞR				
S. Datest and Tondamark Office			 					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding applicant's argument that Michael et al. does not teach, disclose or even suggest forming first and second air gaps and a support pillar in the first hard mask and the first insulating layer, it is noted that Michael et al. teaches in Figs. 7 through 10 a first and second air gaps 26 formed by two trenches in the insulating layer 20 and a support pillar betwe in the two air gaps 26. It is further noted that Michael et al. does not disclose the hard mask being formed over the dielectric layer and the hard mask including the air gaps, but Chen et al. is relied upon for the teaching of using a low-k dielectric layer, forming a hard mask over a dielectric layer prior to the formation of trenches, and forming trenches in the hard mask and the dielectric layer, wherein the hard mask is used for the disclosed intended purpose of improving the etch rate selectivity of the underlying dielectric, and using a low k dielectric material for the disclosed intended purpose of replacing silicon oxide in order to improve performance, thus Chen et al. modifies Michael et al. by using a low k dielectric material instead of silicon oxide, and by using a hard mask on which gaps are formed for the disclosed intended purpose of providing better etching behavior of the insulating layer.